UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT



DISTRICT OF SOUTH DAKOTA

SOUTHERN DIVISION

Branyon Pippenger filed a document in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia on April 29, 2009. That court construed the motion as a petition for writ of habeas corpus and transferred the case to this district where Pippenger was incarcerated. At the time the pleading was filed, Pippenger was awaiting a retrial of his case for conspiracy to distribute, or possess with intent to distribute 50 grams or more of a mixture or substance containing cocaine base. In his pleading Pippenger states, "I have nothing to do with none of this conspiracy and don't none of these co-defendants know me and even said it at trial" Pippenger's retrial resulted in his being found guilty of the conspiracy charge against him in a jury verdict dated May 28, 2009. Pippenger is now awaiting sentencing which is scheduled August 10, 2009.

At the time Pippenger filed his pleading, the pleading amounted to a pretrial motion seeking dismissal of the indictment against him based on sufficiency of the evidence. Such a motion is not allowed because it raises factual questions embraced in the general issue to be presented to the jury. See United States v. Terry, 257 F.3d 366, 372 (4th Cir. 2001). A challenge to the sufficiency of the evidence cannot now be made in a habeas petition as Pippenger has not yet been sentenced and has not yet filed a direct appeal. Pippenger fails to state a claim that can now be addressed in a habeas petition. Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED: 1. That Pippenger's application to proceed without payment of fees is granted; and 2. that Petitioner's application for Habcas relief is denied.

Dated this _____ day of June, 2009

BY THE COURT:

wrence L. Piersol

United States District Judge

JOSEPH HAAS, CLERK BY: YLYYYYYY LLY

ATTEST:

DEPUT